

MEMBERS PRESENT – Craig Hannafin (CH) Chair, Bert O'Donnell (BO) Vice Chair, Susan Caron (SC), Art Lage (AL), Michael Seele (MS) Conservation Agent, and Bill Grafton (BG) Conservation Administrator

MEMBERS NOT PRESENT – Rick Carberry (PC), Joe Ring (JR)

CALL TO ORDER – BO motions to open the meeting at 6:30 PM. BO second. Approved 4-0-0.

MINUTES

- The minutes of the March 1 and March 7 meetings were presented for approval.
- CH motions to accept the March 1, 2022 minutes as written. AL second. Approved 4-0-0.
- CH motions to accept the March 7, 2022 minutes as written. SC second. Approved 4-0-0.

CHAIRMAN'S ADDRESS

- Pursuant to Chapter 20 of the Acts of 2021 date June 16, 2021, An Act Relative to Extending Certain COVID 19 Measures Adopted During the State of Emergency regarding suspending certain provisions of the Open Meeting Law, G. L. c. 30A §18, Commission meetings will be conducted both in-person and via remote participation. Members of the public may attend in-person or may participate remotely. While an option for remote attendance and/or participation is being provided as a courtesy to the public, the meeting/hearing will not be suspended or terminated if technological problems interrupt the virtual broadcast, unless required by law.
- CH reads a statement noting that the Commissioners are volunteers who donate significant time to the Town and undergo regular ethics training. The Commission strives to ensure its hearings are fair and its decisions consistent. Applicants and violators are treated as fairly as possible. CH objects to the credibility and integrity of the Commissioners being publicly called into question as unnecessarily abusive to all volunteers; this statement represents her personal views only.
- CH welcomes new Conservation Agent Michael Seele, who started yesterday.

BUSINESS

B1 Corn Hill Woodland Tree Removal along Corn Hill Lane in 25-50 foot setback to bordering vegetated wetlands–Karen McArdle

- The proposed activity is the removal of diseased/damaged trees in a 900 ft stretch of Corn Hill Lane in Conservation land within the 25-50 foot buffer to a BVW. BG notes that the work was proposed by Karen McArdle, 100 Corn Hill Lane, as many trees were damaged in the October 2021 storm, including one that blocked off the road entirely. As DPW is unavailable to take on the work, BG worked with KM and Town Administrator Mike Maresco (MM) to come up with a proposal for the work. They envision a three-phase strategy including (1) removal of opportunistic and invasive plants 6-15 ft from the edge of road, ideally by volunteers; (2) dropping/chipping of trees by a contractor, spreading the chips on the cleared area; (3) planting of the cleared area with transplanted high bush blueberry and eastern red cedar plants. Estimates for the tree removal component exceed \$10,000, and will be put to bid through Comm buys; the cost of this work is to be split between the Town and KM. BG has worked with Conservation Rangers to tag the trees that need removal, and will do additional research to determine what kind of conservation permitting will be required.
- AL asks about the size of the trees in question; they range from small to large pines. The matter is tabled pending site walks and determination of the appropriate permitting.
- CH motions to table the matter pending the receipt of further information. AL second. Approved 4-0-0.
- KM appears after the vote to table; CH explains that the Commissioners will be taking site walks in order to determine the scope of work and the appropriate permitting. BG adds that the work will ultimately require Commission approval once the project is more clearly defined. KM discusses the conditions that gave rise to her reaching out to BG, noting that fallen trees have been a continuing issue in the identified stretch of Conservation land at the beginning of the road. This puts her elderly parents that live on the road at risk along with other neighbors. CH states they will move the project forward as quickly as possible.

B2 *de minimis* activity Roll/Review/Ratification

a. 85 Wellington Avenue-Shed Construction in 25-foot setback – Commissioners

- The proposed activity is construction of a shed in a previously disturbed area in the 25 ft setback to wetland. A building permit has been issued that is awaiting BG's signoff. Commissioners CH, SC, and PC reviewed the request and approved that the activity was a *de minimis* activity. BG recommends approval with the condition that applicant seek all pertinent permits prior to the start of work.
- CH motions to approve the proposed activity as a *de minimis* activity. SC second. Approved 4-0-0.

b. 243 Ridge Ave-Replace existing fence/add new fence in floodplain & 50 setback – Commissioners

- The proposed activity is replacement of an existing fence in 100 ft buffer to coastal beach (tidal flats). PC has reviewed and approved that the activity was a *de minimis* activity. BG recommends approval with the conditions that there be a 6" gap under the fence to allow for water movement, and that applicant seek all pertinent permits prior to the start of work and consult with BG for any work beyond the scope of what was proposed.
- CH motions to approve the proposed activity as a *de minimis* activity. AL second. Approved 4-0-0.

B3 Conservation Resource Area Guidance For Building Permit Reviews – Commissioners

- Building Commission Andrew Stewart (AS) has asked Chair Hannafin and Conservation Administrator Grafton for guidance as to how they should screen projects for referral to Conservation. BG notes that the way the Town Bylaws define certain resource areas make the determination less straightforward than if Building were simply using the state criteria, and has asked Rick Servant (RS), Stenbeck & Taylor, John Zimmer (JZ), South River Environmental, and Greg Morse (GM), Morse Engineering, to comment.
- GM notes that his firm reviews and annotates resource areas on all site plans, but this information may be missing on plans for small projects where an engineer/surveyor is not involved. In some towns, all building permits are referred to the Conservation Department for a review of resource areas; if this is not feasible, the Building Department could use the MassDEP Wetland and Wetland Change layers or MassMapper programs, which are available online for free and would essentially identify all wetland areas in town. Cases that remain unclear could then be referred to Conservation for a closer inspection.
- BG notes that Building Department will in fact be transitioning to MassDEP Wetland and Wetland Change and MassMapper which has replaced OLIVER, but would also like guidance in terms of distance to wetlands, inland rivers, and coastal rivers. GM suggests a 300 ft (200 ft Riverfront + 100 ft buffer) distance to mean high water for rivers. JZ notes that North River Commission also uses the straight 300 ft standard for simplicity and thoroughness; Consultant William Finn (WF), 96 Dog Ln, notes that NRC's regulation was written with river view impacts in mind, but agrees it would provide an additional cushion. BG points out that it may be easier for the Building Department to measure from a riverbank than from a mean high water line.
- JZ notes that MassMapper underestimates the presence of wetlands, particularly as defined under the Town Bylaw, and suggests that the Building Department should err on the side of caution when deciding whether to refer a project to Conservation. BG concurs, noting that isolated vegetated wetlands are occasionally discovered on or near building sites after a permit has been issued; this will likely have to be handled by Conservation as After-The-Fact conservation permit.
- BO asks how often are the state wetland changes maps updated? JZ states that the wetland change data layer gets updated yearly based on soil information, but site-specific delineations are not captured at this level. BG suggests that the digitization of site plans may eventually enable the Commission to capture this information. GM states that a broad brush screening tool is hard to create, and site visits are ultimately needed when areas are in question; one solution may be to require an engineer or wetland scientist certification that there are no resource areas on a site; short of this, some wetlands are likely to be missed. JZ suggests that a spreadsheet based on the site plans on file might help the Commission keep track of the smaller areas.
- RS agrees that a comprehensive screening tool is out of reach at this time, and the Building Department will continue to have to rely on Conservation review of certain applications. BG concurs, noting verification of Conservation jurisdictional boundaries will continue to be done by Conservation staff but only the Conservation Commission, MassDEP or USACE can approve a wetland delineation. In response to a query from BO, BG clarifies that Conservation does not review all building permit applications, and the Building Department only

refers applications to Conservation when a site is clearly jurisdictional per the Building Department's screening criteria or the matter is unclear.

- BG wants to provide the Building Department with the best tools, guidance and criteria for making referrals, and suggests adding a buffer on top of the information provided by MassDEP Wetland and Wetland Change and MassMapper. WF notes that the wetland delineations on the Zoning map are based on the Plymouth County Soil Survey and may be worthwhile to include in the mix of resources. CH thinks it makes sense to err on the side of caution in terms of buffers and then adjust as needed. BG acknowledges a larger buffer may result in more referrals to Conservation but suggests many could be quickly cleared.
- Lynne Fiddler, 77 Brook Rd, supports anything the Commission can do to help other departments understand the Wetlands Bylaws and better protect the wetlands provided it is not too restrictive. BO concurs but suggests it is more a matter of accurate referral than restrictiveness. BG hopes the various resources already available become more integrated hopefully in the future with additional layers from MassDEP Wetland and Wetland Change and MassMapper.
- After further discussion BG suggests relaying an updated referral criteria of 300 ft from all riverbanks and 150 ft from wetlands. Isolated Vegetated Wetlands will continue to be handled by the Conservation staff as appropriate. RS notes that the mean high water line is the more accurate metric but BG suggests that riverbank is a simpler criterion for Building to use as a screening tool which is separate and distinct from the Commission permitting criteria.
- CH motions to recommend that the Building Department use a 150 ft buffer to wetlands and a 300 ft buffer to riverbanks for the purpose of screening Building Permits for Conservation permitting. AL second. Approved 4-0-0.

B4 NEMBA Mountain Bike Race in Carolina Hill/discuss race and Conservation permission – Jeff Wilson

- Jeff Wilson (JW), 26 Norwell Rd, present to discuss a New England Mountain Bike Association (NEMBA) race he is organizing which would utilize parts of the Carolina Hill trail system. They are proposing both an adult and junior course to get youths into the system. They will approach the School Department about using the Eames Way school parking lot, and will also consult with Police and Fire. Every racer is covered for bodily injury out of the registration fee. The race is planned for sometime this fall.
- BG notes that JW also volunteers to maintain the trail system in Town, and has helped to locate non-designated trails. BG recommends that the Commission grant permission for the race with the condition that the organizers consult with the Police and Fire Departments and Select Board.
- CH moves to approve the NEMBA road race on Conservation land with the condition that the Police and Fire Departments and Select Board are notified. SC second. Approved 4-0-0.

B5 32 Olde Wharf Way/conservation permitting review-shed in LSCSF & BZ to SM – Commissioners

- The property has an outstanding Order of Conditions SE42-2932 for an After-The-Fact fence and proposed hot tub installation and gravel walkway and patio replacement; the new proposed additional activity is the addition of a shed within an existing driveway and several planters on the existing patio. Two commissioners reviewed the request and opined that the activity was a *de minimis* activity.
- BG notes that applicant has been a good steward of the land since receiving his OOC, and recommends approval with the condition that applicant seek all pertinent permits prior to the start of work and consult with BG for any work beyond the scope of what was proposed.
- CH motions to approve the proposed activity as a *de minimis* activity to be depicted on the As-Built plans for SE42-2932. BO second. Approved 4-0-0.

B6 Annual Town Meeting / CPC Funding CR Couch Beach & Old Mount Skirgo properties – Commissioners

- Tabled.

PUBLIC HEARING

Prior to the start of the hearings, CH reads the list of hearings for which a continuation was requested.

2951 Trocki, 12 Branch Street (Raze & Rebuild SFH).....cont. from 10/5/2021 (Joe)

- Applicant has requested a withdrawal in writing.

- CH motions to withdraw the Notice of Intent submittal for 12 Brand Street, SE42-2951, and direct the Conservation Administrator to prepare and submit a withdrawal letter. SC second. Approved 4-0-0.

22-08 Bryda, 39 Abbey Street (new deck).....NEW (Bert)

- CH reads the legal ad. Hearing Officer BO confirms administrative requirements are complete.
- Builder Gino Bernardi (GB) present for applicant. The proposed activity is construction of a 16' by 14' deck in the rear of the house, to be placed on diamond piers. BG notes that diamond piers are the least intrusive footings for deck support, and has no other concerns. BO agrees that the project is straightforward and presents no concerns.
- BO asks for comments the public; none. The standard conditions of approval will apply.
- BO motions to close and issue a DOA, Pos. #5 for the Bylaw, Neg. # 2, with special conditions drafted by BG. AL second. Approved 4-0-0.

22-09 Koulopoulos, 1 Elm Street (Tree Removal & Restore Lawn).....NEW (Susan)

- CH reads the legal ad. Hearing Officer SC confirms administrative requirements are complete.
- John Zimmer (JZ), South River Environmental, presents for applicant. The homeowner received a *de minimis* activity in December to remove multiple trees that were downed in the October storm. This additional filing is for stabilization of a depression in the yard with fill, and reseeding of the yard, which lies in the buffer zone to a BVW. They will also remove some old debris in the 50 ft buffer, place conservation markers along the limit of the existing lawn and fence, and remove opportunistic and invasive plants from the back yard and replace with 10 black willow, 10 highbush blueberry, and 10 sweet pepperbush shrubs to offset. JZ also notes that the area near an old DPW drainage ditch has been colonized by phragmites; the homeowner will contact Plymouth County Mosquito control to try to improve the ditch's functionality.
- SC noted that the site suffered significant damage from the storm and the heavy equipment that removed the trees; she has no concerns given the mitigation plantings and other improvements offered.
- BG suggests that applicants allow an existing lawn area inside the 25 ft buffer to revegetate, and that they quantify the amount of fill they propose to bring in, as this could potentially impact flood patterns in the area. As this is a voluntary planting plan, there is no required monitoring, but BG encourages applicants to keep in touch with JZ to ensure the success of the plantings, as they could potentially help dry out the yard. JZ indicates that between 200–400 cubic yards of fill will be brought in; this will be placed so as to direct water back towards the existing stream and wetland; SC agrees with JZ based on the topography. BG recommends that JZ check with the Building Department, as the property is in the inland wetland district before commencing the work.
- SC asks for comments from the public; none.
- The standard conditions of approval will apply.
- SC motions to close and issue a DOA, Pos. #5, Neg for the Bylaw. # 3, with special conditions drafted by BG. CH second. Approved 4-0-0.

TBL 22-01 Wright, 80 Ireland Street (Raze & Rebuild SFH).....NEW (Susan)

- CH reads the legal ad. Hearing Officer SC confirms administrative requirements are complete.
- Austin Chartier (AC), McKenzie Engineering, present for applicant Howard Wright, who is also present. The proposed activity is the raze and rebuild of a SFH; the foundation will be moved 4.28 feet further away from the wetlands in order to comply with the local bylaw. The majority of the new house will be outside the 100 ft buffer. The wetland on the property is in a degraded state with some fill and invasive species; delineation was performed by Brad Holmes, ECR. They are offering to place conservation markers along the edge of the existing yard, and silt sock erosion control will be utilized at the limit of work. An existing gravel driveway will be removed, and a shell driveway installed.
- All discussed a potential vernal pool that BG observed on the property. BG asked applicant that this be flagged, but this was not done; it may not be functioning right now, but it might be at some point in time. SC notes there is no certification the area is a vernal pool, but BG states that typically he or the Commission calls out such areas on local sites, and it is up to the applicant to prove it is not a potential vernal pool. BG notes Ch 505 protects certified and potential vernal pools and a 100-foot vernal pool habitat. SC does not believe confirmation potential vernal pool would affect the project, as there is no room to move structures given the location of the septic, but BG would like the delineation of the property to be complete, as it would establish a second resource area and

provide greater protection of the area. AC agrees that the flagging would not have any impact on the project, as no work in the resource area is proposed. BH is willing to post flags to identify the potential vernal pool.

- BO asks about grade changes on the site, noting there are already flooding issues in the area; AC indicates the only changes proposed are in the driveway area.
- SC asks for comments from the public; none
- Special conditions of approval include the flagging of the potential vernal pool and submission of photos and updated site plan to Conservation Office by noon on April 15, posting of (3) three conservation markers as noted on the approved site plan, and removal of green waste and boat and trailer from the buffer zone.
- SC motions to close the hearing and issue Orders of Conditions with special conditions drafted by BG. AL second. Approved 4-0-0.

2971 Town of Marshfield, 432 Ocean St.-11 Rexhame Rd. (Reg. 3 Coastal Infrastructure Repairs)...cont. from 3/1/2022 (Bert)

- Continued hearing; BO Hearing Officer confirms administrative requirements are complete. BG notes that the filing fees have been approved to be waived per a letter dated 2/28/2022 from the Select Board.
- Town Engineer Rod Procaccino (RP) present along with project engineer Jeremy Packard (JP), Foth Engineering. This filing is for the third phase of coastal infrastructure repairs along the waterfront with the intent of permitting future and previous work authorized under an Emergency Certification Form and securing authorization to perform ongoing periodic maintenance. This phase will cover the Ocean Bluff area, from about Samoset Ave northward to Rexhame Road. Activities to be permitted will include seawall repairs including shoring, crack and staircase repairs as well as maintenance to the revetment at Damon's Point. There are (4) four Emergency Certification Form repairs to be permitted, three of which are revetment repairs made in the area after coastal storms and one repair to a manhole at the end of Rexhame Road. JP has worked with RP to provide construction details regarding the various maintenance activities; BG thanks JP for providing this level of detail.
- JP notes that Commission authorization for DPW to perform ongoing maintenance will allow DPW to make repairs more quickly while still notifying BG of such activity. DPW will continue to work with BG on details regarding construction access and site control. Additional filings may still be required for major work, and filings with state and federal agencies may occasionally be required; this will be determined on a case by case basis and based on what permits are obtainable at this time. BG adds that this filing lays the groundwork for such larger projects and notes this is the most complete regional permit the Commission has seen to date; it may be desirable for DPW to Request Amended Orders Of Conditions for Regions 1 and 2 to bring the Order Of Conditions in line with those for Region 3.
- BO asks for more information about how subsequent permitting or notification would work. BG indicates, as in Regions 1 and 2, BG will be notified about repair activity, and large repairs will still require a separate permit. DPW can seek an amendment to the overall OOC, ask for a *de minimis* activity permit, or file a NOI. JP adds that BG will be able to decide whether a separate permit is required when he receives notification from DPW. BG indicates he would probably consult with the Commissioners in such situations.
- RP notes that current activity in the area includes a study regarding rebuilding the revetment in the Foster Ave area; any work resulting from the study will go through a new permitting process that will include the Commission.
- Roger Rich (RR), 50 Foster Avenue, thanks the Commission and all groups involved in the construction and maintenance of seawalls. There is a seawall and revetment in front of his residence currently, but the revetment needs to be reinforced and the seawall has some small cracks. RP states that a ramp is being proposed to access the revetment in that area; reinforcement of the revetment in the area is also likely. The seawall is in good shape compared to its condition in other areas, but he can inspect further. This work would be part of the separate NOI he referenced. RR suggests that RP consider a breakwater running up the coast at some point in the future; BO thanks RR for his comments but notes they are beyond the scope of the hearing." RP notes they looked at similar structures; they are costly and require a lot of permitting.
- Special conditions of approval include requesting a pre-construction site meeting with BG before the start of any work and providing two-week notice in writing for Category 2 activities.
- BO motions to close the hearing and issue Orders of Conditions with special conditions drafted by BG. AL second. Approved 4-0-0.

SCHEDULED CONTINUED HEARINGS:

2727A Digan Jr. / The Digan Family Trust, 1327 Union Street (Amend Pier, Ramp & Dock).....NEW (Rick)

- The hearing is continued until the public meeting of the Marshfield Conservation Commission. Applicant's representative requested continuation in writing.
- CH motions to continue the hearing to April 19, 2022. AL second. Approved 4-0-0.

2950 Gomes, 76 Carolyn Circle (Pier, Dock & Float).....cont. from 10/5/2021 (Rick)

- The hearing is continued until the public meeting of the Marshfield Conservation Commission. Applicant's representative requested continuation in writing.
- CH motions to continue the hearing to April 19, 2022. SC second. Approved 4-0-0.

2958 Speakman, 274 Foster Avenue (Elevate Single Family Home).....cont. from 11/2/2021 (Susan)

- The hearing is continued until the public meeting of the Marshfield Conservation Commission. Applicant's representative requested continuation in writing.
- CH motions to continue the hearing to April 19, 2022. SC second. Approved 4-0-0.

2960 Sealund Corp, 202 Moraine Street (Roadway w/ Utilities).....cont. from 12/7/2021 (Joe)

- The hearing is continued until the public meeting of the Marshfield Conservation Commission. Applicant's representative requested continuation in writing.
- CH motions to continue the hearing to April 19, 2022. SC second. Approved 4-0-0.

2966 Six Birch Realty Trust, 0 Little's Lane (Ext. Pier, Ramp & Float).....cont. from 2/1/2021 (Rick)

- The hearing is continued until the public meeting of the Marshfield Conservation Commission. Applicant's representative requested continuation in writing. BG advised applicant's representative that the dock project site visit deadline expired on March 29, 2022.
- CH motions to continue the hearing to April 19, 2022. AL second. Approved 4-0-0.

REQUESTS FOR CERTIFICATES OF COMPLIANCE & EXTENSIONS

0451 Fieldston By The Sea (Now Doherty), 30 Old Beach Road [COC]

- BG recommended issuance of an invalid COC, as the work at the site was done under a separate approved OOC, SE42-0875. The approved work under SE42-0451 NEVER COMMENCED
- CH motions to issue an invalid COC for the SE42-0451. SC second. Approved 4-0-0.

1226 Telegraph Hill Realty Trust (Now Smith), 10 Stonybrook Road [COC]

- A COC site visit was performed by the acting Conservation Administrator in 1998, but the COC did not issue then due to vegetation not growing; BG visited the property, observed that the grass has been established, and recommended issuance of the COC.
- CH motions to issue a complete COC for SE42-1226. AL second. Approved 4-0-0.

1743 Walker, 459 Highland Street [COC]

- BG visited the site and noted a number of apparently unpermitted structures, including retaining walls, a runoff pipe, brick patio, deck, expanded pool footprint, pool fencing, and fill and grade changes; he also observed debris in the wetlands and setback. These issues will be addressed through an After-The-Fact NOI. The property is currently for sale, and BG has been in touch with prior and current owners, realtor, buyer's attorney, and Rick Servant (RS), Stenbeck & Taylor. BG recommends that the request be tabled.
- CH motions to table the matter to the April 19, 2022 meeting. SC second. Approved 4-0-0.

2217A Paris Realty Trust, 0 Main Street (John Sherman Estates) [EXT]

- Numerous outstanding conditions of the subdivision OOC relating to recording the Conservation Restrictions and implementing of plantings on certain lots remain outstanding. Several lots were sold after the subdivision OOC was issued, and implementation of plantings on these lots requires the cooperation of the lot owners. BG advises that work towards addressing the issues discussed prior to issuance of the one-year extension last year was started

but not completed, and recommends granting a six-month extension with the condition that future extensions will be contingent on there being measurable results fulfilling of the outstanding Order Of Conditions of approval. BG and AL are meeting with project engineer Greg Morse (GM) this week.

- CH motions to grant a six-month extension, to October 5, 2022, for the SE42-2217 and SE42-2217 Amended OOCs with the condition that future extensions will be contingent on there being measurable results on fulfillment of the outstanding Order Of Conditions. AL second. Approved 4-0-0.

2728 Bruner, 1 Macomers Ridge [COC]

- BG visited the site, noting that only two of the three permitted retaining walls were actually built. The septic system is in a slightly different location than approved, but is still outside the buffer zones. BG recommends issuance of a complete COC.
- CH motions to issue a complete COC for SE42-2700. SC second. Approved 4-0-0.
- After the vote, BG notes that his notes included clerical errors referencing the incorrect address and MassDEP Wetlands Number. BG suggested revoking the immediately previous vote referencing the clerical errors.
- CH motions to revoke the immediately prior approval of a complete COC for SE42-2700, identified as Telegraph Hill Realty Trust, 10 Stonybrook Rd due to clerical errors. SC second. Approved 4-0-0.
- CH motions to issue a complete COC for Bruner at 1 Macomers Ridge, SE42-2728. AL second. Approved 4-0-0.

ENFORCEMENT ORDERS

Smith, 38 Liberty Street (11/19/18 KS will set early Dec visit); White, Bednarz/ Nouza, 65 Ireland Road (Unpermitted Cutting <= 50 ft): Tamara Macuch, 237 Webster Avenue; Stifter, 102 Bartlett's Island (unpermitted revetment wall)

B7 Central Street 45-Nolan/Unpermitted fill in LSCSF & BZ – Brad Holmes & Commissioners

- A complaint about filling was received at the Conservation Office. BG visited the site and learned that 20 cubic yards of 1¼" stone were poured on the lawn in conservation jurisdictional boundaries. BG and CH advised homeowner about the need for a restoration plan. The plan has been submitted and includes pulling back the stone from the resource area as well as installing conservation markers and plantings. Homeowner's representative indicated that she prefers a "friendly" enforcement order so that she can begin the plantings this spring.
- CH moves to direct the Conservation Administrator to prepare an Enforcement Order for 45 Central Street for ratification at the April 19th public meeting. SC second. Approved 4-0-0.

ADJOURNMENT – CH makes a motion to close the hearing at 9:30 PM. AL second. Approved 4-0-0.

Respectfully submitted,
Liz Anoja, Conservation Administrative Clerk

Marshfield Conservation Commission
Bill Grafton, Conservation Administrator
Mike Seele, Conservation Agent

Craig Hannafin, Chair
Art Lage
Susan Caron

Bert O'Donnell, Vice Chair
Joe Ring
Rick Carberry