

MEMBERS PRESENT – Craig Hannafin (CH) Chair, Bert O'Donnell (BO) Vice Chair, Susan Caron (SC), John O'Donnell, Joe Ring (JR), Ken Dodge (KD), David Good (DG), Mike Seele, Conservation Agent (MS)

CALL TO ORDER – CH motions to open the meeting at 6:30 PM. JO second. Approved 7-0-0.

MINUTES

- The minutes of the November 2 and 16 meetings were presented for approval. No comments or suggested changes were received, and none were made on the floor.
- CH motions to accept the November 2, 2022 minutes as edited. JR second. Approved 7-0-0.
- CH motions to accept the November 4, 2022 minutes as edited. JR second. Approved 7-0-0.
- MS noted that the November 4 minutes were not yet circulated to the Commission, and the previous vote was rescinded.
- CH motions to rescind the previous vote accepting the November 4 minutes. JR second. Approved 7-0-0.
- CH motions to accept the November 16, 2022 minutes as edited. JO second. Approved 6-0-1, BO having abstained.

CHAIRMAN'S ADDRESS

- Pursuant to Chapter 20 of the Acts of 2021 date June 16, 2021, An Act Relative to Extending Certain COVID 19 Measures Adopted During the State of Emergency regarding suspending certain provisions of the Open Meeting Law, G. L. c. 30A §18, Commission meetings will be conducted both in-person and via remote participation. Members of the public may attend in-person or may participate remotely. While an option for remote attendance and/or participation is being provided as a courtesy to the public, the meeting/hearing will not be suspended or terminated if technological problems interrupt the virtual broadcast, unless required by law.

BUSINESS

B1 *de minimis* activity Roll/Review/Ratification

- a. None

B2 Review January - June 2023 Meeting Dates and Deadline

- The Commission reviewed and approved the proposed meeting schedule and submission deadlines for January - June 2023. Meetings will continue on the first and third Wednesdays of the month.
- CH motions to accept the Commission meeting dates and submission deadlines for January through June 2023 as proposed. JR second. Approved 7-0-0.

B3 DPW Discussion regarding future ditch improvements – Dave Carriere & Commissioners

- Dave Carriere present for the Board of Public Works to discuss ongoing ditch improvements along Plymouth Avenue and other roads in town, including parts of Ocean Street and the streets between Plymouth and Ocean. He has a photo in front of 307 Ocean showing flooding after just 0.33" of rain. The drainage pipes there are of corrugated metal, which is subject to saltwater corrosion. DPW would like to work with the Conservation Office to set up an ongoing maintenance schedule, especially in the winter. Clearing these ditches and restoring their original grading would be a low-cost option to address the drainage issues; other options include replacing the ditches with polyethylene double-walled corrugated piping connected to sediment fore bays, but this would be at an additional cost. They have also discussed clearing the ditches but adding a sediment fore bay at the entrance to the piping. The fore bay would require ongoing annual maintenance, as would keeping the ditches clear, but this would not involve much disturbance to the marsh. They will be discussing these options at their next Board meeting, and would like the conditions in any conservation permitting to be flexible as possible. Upon Commission approval, they will direct Engineering and staff to prepare specific plans and cost estimates for each maintenance option, to be presented at Town Meeting.

- BO asks if this work is related in any way to previous drainage work at Plymouth and Ocean Avenues; the previous work involved repairs to the water main whereas this work concerns drainage ditches. KD asks if there is any grant money available to implement a more permanent solution; DC thinks there may be some but not much. CH notes that Building Commissioner AS has indicated a flood plain permit would also be required.
- Joe Peceovich, 25 Wilson Rd, notes he has seen flooding in the Plymouth Ave area for years; drainage ditches and pipes would periodically get clogged by people throwing yard waste and other debris into the ditches. However, he would have reservations about installing a closed drainage system due to potential wildlife impacts.

B4 Tedeschi, 160 Bay Avenue DMA vs. RAOOC – (Staircase Alteration SE42-2975) – Commissioners

- Applicant would like to slightly shift the position of a staircase so it lines up better with an existing wooden walkway. The staircase is proposed to be on helical piles, and the shift would result in no additional impacts.
- CH motions to approve the change in staircase as a De Minimis activity to be captured on the as-built plans during the request for Certificate of Compliance. BO second. Approved 7-0-0.

B5 Carlo, 89 Constitution DMA vs. RAOOC (Deviation Discussion SE42-2952) – Commissioners

- An Order of Conditions was issued on 10/5/21 for the removal of several hazard trees in and near the 25 ft buffer. Applicant would now like to use fill illegally dumped on his property to remove the stumps and even the grade in the area; he is interested in planting native species such as Eastern Red Cedars once this is done.
- Applicant David Carlo explains they recently purchased an adjacent lot to their property and found it had been used as a neighborhood dumping ground. He would like to add some of the fill from this lot to the area where the trees were cut in order to direct stormwater to drain naturally into the marsh behind the property. Once this is done, he will add some native plantings.
- CH asks how high the stumps in question are; there are three that protrude about six inches above the ground. CH notes that the Commission normally requests that trees be “stumped” at about 6’ to encourage regrowth if possible. DC states his construction company advised taking the stumps out rather than leaving them in the ground. BO asks clarification on what he will be doing after the stumps are removed; DC wants to bring the area back to what it was, with natural plantings and trees.
- CH expresses concern at adding fill so close to the wetland; DC notes that under the current conditions, stormwater is directed down the street and across several properties instead of into the marsh, “where it is naturally supposed to go.” MS thinks the proposal is reasonable considering the clearing that has already taken place, as the stumps were cut very close to the ground. It will not require much fill to fill in the holes left by the stumps, and MS can work with applicants on native planting options. CH would like to confirm the contours of the property before any work starts; DC agrees.
- CH motions to approve the proposed as De Minimis pending confirmation of the contours. DG second. Approved 7-0-0.

SCHEDULED CONTINUED HEARINGS

2958 Speakman, 274 Foster Avenue (Elevate Single Family Home).....cont. from 11/2/2021 (Susan)

- The applicant requested a continuation to the 12/21/2022 meeting in writing.
- CH motions to continue the hearing until December 21, 2022. SC second. Approved 7-0-0.

2987 Bethanis, 1184 Ferry Street (Pier, Ramp & Float).....cont. from 7/6/2022 (Joe)

- The applicant requested a continuation to the 12/21/2022 meeting in writing.
- CH motions to continue the hearing until December 21, 2022. JO second. Approved 7-0-0.

3007 Pizziferri, 21 Olympia Road (Raze & Rebuild S.F.H.).....cont. from 11/2/2022 (Craig)

- The applicant requested a continuation to the 12/21/2022 meeting in writing.
- CH motions to continue the hearing until December 21, 2022. JR second. Approved 7-0-0.

3002 UCI Realty Trust, 20 Dog Lane (New Single Family Home).....cont. from 11/16/2022 (Bert)

- The applicant requested a continuation to the 12/21/2022 meeting in writing.
- CH motions to continue the hearing until December 21, 2022. JO second. Approved 7-0-0.

2990 Powell, 17 Oregon Road (Garage, Deck & Addition).....cont. from 11/16/2022 (Craig)

- The applicant requested a continuation to the 12/21/2022 meeting in writing.
- CH motions to continue the hearing until December 21, 2022. JO second. Approved 7-0-0.

2988 Ricciarelli, 1203 Ferry Street (Pier, Ramp & Float).....cont. from 11/16/2022 (Bert)

- The applicant requested a continuation to the 1/4/2023 meeting in writing.
- CH motions to continue the hearing until January 4, 2023. JR second. Approved 7-0-0.

PUBLIC HEARINGS AND MEETINGS

3014 Herget, 36 Musket Road (Story Addition & Farmers Porch).....NEW (John)

- CH reads the legal ad. Hearing Officer JO confirms administrative requirements are complete.
- Rick Servant, Stenbeck & Taylor, present for applicant. The proposed activity is construction of a farmers porch in front of the existing house and a second story addition in back; the lot is located in LSCSF, AE flood zone, and outer buffer zone to BVW. The project will result in a slight increase in impervious surface. RS notes that the addition will be constructed on top of the existing 1 story structure. The front porch will be constructed on sonotubes. The proposed work will not require any excavation, and the lot was delineated by Pinebrook Consulting.
- JO and MS walked the property and have no issues. Building Commissioner AS has commented that a flood plain permit will be required. They will also need a determination from Building that the proposed work does not constitute a substantial improvement.
- JO asks for comments from the public; none.
- The standard special conditions of approval will apply plus condition d(3) requiring submission of an elevation certificate.
- JO motions to close the hearing and issue Orders of Conditions with special conditions drafted by MS. DG second. Approved 7-0-0.

3016 Airport Commission, 93 Old Colony Ln (Stormwater Manage. Repaving/pave gravel access).....NEW (Craig)

- CH reads the legal ad and, as Hearing Officer, confirms administrative requirements are complete.
- Project engineer Craig Schuster (CS) present for Airport Solutions Group along with Dan Lucci (phonetic) (DL), GZA Environmental, and Dana Altobello (DA), Merrill Engineers. Also present are airport manager Jason Tibbetts (JT) and Airport Commission Chair Dave Suffredi (DS).
- The proposed activity is the reconstruction of the aprons and taxiways off the runway; this work is necessary to comply with the variance Order of Conditions issued by DEP in 2013, as one of its conditions required that stormwater treatment improvements be made when funding became available. The work will include the addition of several bio-retention basins to an area of BVW behind the hangars for stormwater treatment; currently stormwater from this part of the airport is not treated. An access road leading to the runways will also be reconfigured so vehicles don't have to drive onto the taxiways. The total project cost for this piece is about \$6,700,000; the Town's share is 5%, and this funding was recently voted at the Special Town Meeting. The remaining cost will be paid at the state and Federal level.
- Commission consultant William Finn (WF) plays video footage, shown at the November 2 meeting, of a drone flying over the airport and work areas. A second video shows a drive-by of the work area. WF notes that currently vehicles must drive on the taxiway to access the hangars, which presents a safety issue. An addition to the end of the access road will allow vehicles to access the hangars without having to drive onto the taxiways. The remaining access road will be repaved; a BVW next to the road will be left alone; some "pocket wetlands" will be added to the other side of the road. The video pans over the double-barreled culvert next to the access road.
- JT thanks the Commission in advance for its time and consideration. DL notes that GZA provided the resource area delineation on site and Merrill provided the engineering. Around 2012, the airport received a variance Order of Conditions (SE42-2334) to reconstruct the runway on the condition that improvements be made to the stormwater system when the necessary funds become available. DL points out the work area and resource area locations on the site plan. The wetland lines have remained stable through the years and are not expected to change. The proposed work will be taking place in the lawn patches shown in the video. They will be working in the buffer to the BVW but not in the BVW itself; all work will be in existing developed area. DL states the project is in

compliance with the state WPA and “think we’re generally in compliance with the bylaw.” They are willing to offer mitigation as the Commission deems fit. The work area lies in NHESP habitat, and the application has been sent to them; NHESP has not issued their final decision but indicated they have no issue with the work proposed.

- DA notes that the airport is considered by DEP to be a Land Use with Higher Potential Pollutant Load (LHUPPL), and this is why DEP required the stormwater treatment improvements. Most of the stormwater on the site is directed towards the work area but currently treatment is minimal. They are proposing to increase treatment by installing several sediment fore bays, vegetated filter strips, and Cape Cod berms where needed to direct water into the treatment structures. The majority of the stormwater in the hanger area will be discharged into the BVW after being treated. Silt sock erosion control will be deployed downgradient of the limit of work.
- CH asks about the impact of the proposed work on impervious surface on the site. DA indicates the increase as being 9138 sq. ft., mostly from repaving of the access road. With the addition of the new stormwater structures, however, they will be able to lower the peak runoff rates and volumes. DG asks if there will be other soil movement or disturbance on the site. DA characterizes such movement to be minor; there will be some shifting of grades that will involve some excavation.
- Joe Peceovich, 25 Wilson Rd, would like to know if the Building Commissioner and Town Engineer have provided any written comments beyond verbally approving the project, and whether the project should be subject to ZBA review. CH notes that this work has been planned since the issuance of the variance order of conditions in 2012. JP states the DEP OOC was not specific as to what they were requiring, and doubts repaving the access road was what DEP had in mind. JP maintains that approval without written comments from Building would be premature. WF states he spoke to Building Commissioner Andrew Stewart prior to the meeting; AS had reviewed the project and raised no concerns. There are written comments from Town Engineer Rod Procaccino regarding drainage. JP would like “the public to be able to see and review” these comments prior to an approval vote, and is surprised that AS wouldn’t have something more to say. WF can only relay what AS said to him an hour ago. CH notes that the flood plain permit is pending and will be another opportunity for AS to weigh in. JP states that approval before flood plain and other permitting is secured would be premature.
- JP questions whether the proposed new structures are going to be able to handle the volume of runoff and the timing of availability of the Federal grant money funding the project, and would like more details regarding disbursement of the grant money. CS notes they have been planning this project with the FAA for several years; some of the grant funds will be disbursed this year, and the balance needed to finish the project will be distributed next year. The specific availability of funds is up to FAA, but they realize the work has to be done to satisfy the requirements of the OOC. WF notes that this OOC is good for three years once issued, which should be sufficient time for the work to be completed and paid for.
- CH asks about construction phasing if funds are not immediately available: CS states the taxi lane and access road work would be first, followed by installation of the fixtures.
- JP questions whether the proposed new structures are going to be able to handle the volume of runoff; DA indicates their submission includes calculations they have made as to the rates and volumes of runoff the system can accommodate. JP suggests the Commission should hire a third-party consultant to review the calculations as well as study long-term impacts. CH sees no need for a third-party review of this project. JP notes that projects of this size usually are subject to such review, but WF states that Town Engineer Procaccino has reviewed the plans and did not recommend further third-party review.
- JP would also like to know multiple additional details about the project, including what kind of excavation work will be done and what’s going to be done to mitigate impacts from filling, and states Building Commissioner AS should be here to comment on these issues. JP also questions the net benefit of the proposed structures and whether there has been any issues with water quality on the property. “Hasn’t it functioned fine just the way it is?” CH reiterates that the previous Order of Conditions required these improvements to be made when the funds were available.
- CH comments that the project will result in an improvement over existing conditions, particularly in terms of stormwater treatment on the site. DL notes that stormwater on the site currently receives no treatment prior to being released into the wetland. WF adds that the FAA is interested in getting all the permitting done for this project prior to the end of the year so they can fund the first phase of the project; CS agrees that the goal is to secure permitting by end of the year so the first phase can start next year.
- Regarding mitigation, WF suggests that the pocket wetlands and bio-retention areas proposed in the plan provide some mitigation for the work in the 25 ft buffer, but the Commission should also consider negotiating some kind of

offsite mitigation with the Airport Commission. JP objects that he hasn't seen the draft conditions and other parts of the file, and suggests that the Town should work out a mitigation strategy publicly, and FAA's interest in finishing permitting in December shouldn't affect when the Commission closes. CH asks what happens if the project is not approved by the end of the year; CS is unsure and would have to check with FAA.

- JR notes that the draft special conditions are mostly prewritten conditions, many of which are added to or removed depending on the nature of individual projects.
- DG speaks in support of the project, but believes that JP should have had "every opportunity" to review the materials; he would prefer to delay a vote to allow JP to review the materials. MS notes that most of the comments from Town Engineer Procaccino were only received this afternoon; the special conditions were drafted this afternoon as well. CH notes that a lot of work has gone into the project; she is satisfied with what she sees and would like to take a vote unless there is a strong objection. JR has no substantive concerns with the project, and notes that special conditions are often put in at the hearing itself, and are not available for advance review by the public.
- KD would like assurance that applicants are putting in the best stormwater control systems for this kind of setting; DA states that the fixtures they are proposing are in keeping with Best Management Practices set forth in DEP's Stormwater Management Handbook. KD notes that these fixtures will be an improvement over the existing conditions. BO notes that the addition of the structures will also be beneficial in case of a spill, and agrees the project will be an overall improvement.
- Building Commissioner Andrew Stewart enters the hearing and notes that project engineer DA has filed for a floodplain permit. He has reviewed the site plan and engineering documents submitted. They are looking to ensure the activity increases the natural functions of the wetlands, and that the post-development runoff is not going to be increased over predevelopment levels. In addition to reviewing the plans, he will be conducting a preconstruction site visit, inspection when the fixtures are being installed, and do a final inspection when work is complete. He does not believe this requires site plan approval or ZBA special permit because it's a pre-existing development and water courses are not being changed.
- The standard conditions of approval will apply plus a special condition calling for offsite mitigation to be negotiated between the Conservation Commission Chair and the Airport Commission Chair.
- CH motions to close the hearing and issue Orders of Conditions with special conditions drafted by MS. JR second. Approved 6-0-1, DG having abstained.

3013 Hyde, 20 Jackson Street (Raze & Rebuild SFH).....NEW (Susan)

- CH reads the legal ad. Hearing Officer SC confirms administrative requirements are complete.
- Joseph Hannon (JH), Atlantic Coastal Engineering, present for applicant. The proposed activity is the raze and rebuild of a SFH, with the new structure being elevated on open wooden pilings. Also proposed are re-landscaping with native plant species and replacing a stone patio with pervious pavers. The lot lies in barrier beach, coastal dune, LSCSF, and VE-13 flood zone. JH notes that the new structure would be 30' by 37' with a rear porch supported by sonotubes and one-car garage beneath. The lot is a pre-existing nonconforming lot, and the new structure will more closely comply with zoning setbacks. They are proposing downspouts into a Cultec detention system for stormwater management; JH notes they will be increasing the pervious surface on the lot by 1300 sq ft.
- SC asks if applicants have considered a pervious paver driveway. JH discussed this with applicants, and they prefer a concrete driveway for ease of snow removal. SC notes that building and flood plan permits will be required; any changes to the plan as a result of this permitting will require a second review by the Commission.
- SC asks for comments from the public; none.
- A special condition requiring submission of an elevation certificate will apply.
- SC motions to close the hearing and issue Orders of Conditions with special conditions drafted by MS. BO second. Approved 7-0-0.

3015 Medlin, 60 Foster Avenue (Addition, Garage & Porch).....NEW (Susan)

- CH reads the legal ad. Hearing Officer SC confirms administrative requirements are complete.
- Rick Servant, Stenbeck & Taylor, present for applicant. The proposed activity is the construction of an addition, garage, and porch. The lot is not located in barrier beach but is in an AO 3 flood zone and 100 ft buffer to coastal beach. The garage will have proper flood venting, and the farmer's porch will be on sonotubes and elevated above

grade. As part of the work, they are proposing to replace 1000 sq ft of existing paved driveway with gravel, resulting in a 640 sq ft decrease in impervious area.

- SC notes that she met the homeowner, and the work is being proposed to accommodate a medical condition. BO asks about the composition of the garage floor; they are proposing a concrete slab.
- RS requests that the hearing be continued pending the outcome of a variance request with ZBA.
- SC motions to continue the hearing to January 18, 2023. JR second. Approved 7-0-0.

3011 Grigg, 180 School Street (Septic System Repair).....NEW (John)

- Continued hearing. Hearing Officer JO confirms administrative requirements are complete.
- The proposed activity is a septic repair, including pumping/filling the existing failed cesspools and installing a 1500 gallon tank with leaching chamber system. The new system will be gravity-based; the tank is located 57 ft from the nearest wetland and the leaching system is located outside the 100 ft buffer. All work will take place in existing lawn, and silt sock will be deployed at the limit of work. JO visited the site with MS. The location of the tank inside the buffer zone is partially dictated by where the plumbing exits the house.
- JO asks for comments from the public; none.
- The standard conditions of approval will apply including a special condition requiring the use of erosion control at the limit of work.
- JO motions to close the hearing and issue Orders of Conditions with special conditions drafted by MS. DG second. Approved 7-0-0.

Commissioner SC Recuses from the following discussion and vote.

2950 Gomes, 76 Carolyn Circle (Pier, Dock & Float).....cont. from 11/16/2021 (Joe)

- Continued hearing; JR Hearing Officer.
- Terry McGovern, Stenbeck & Taylor, present for applicant along with attorney James Creed Jr. (JC). The proposed activity is the construction of a 377 ft long by 4 ft wide wooden dock, 40 ft long by 3 ft wide gangway, and 12 ft by 10 ft float. The last two dock sections will use greenheart pilings. The matter has had numerous public hearings since 2019, and outstanding issues at the last hearing included a float size that, at 240 sq ft, exceeded the 200 sq ft maximum in the bylaw. Additionally, the pier is to be shared by two families, as it will traverse a 10 ft easement across a neighbor's property in order to access the river. Harbormaster DiMeo supports the 240 sq ft float size design on a safety basis, and his concerns regarding navigability of a perennial stream the dock passes over have been addressed. Applicant's attorney, Jay Creed, and Town Counsel have been in communication regarding the pier's traversing the two lots.
- TM agrees that the permitting process for this project has been drawn out; the biggest piece of this has been working with Harbormaster DiMeo to satisfy his concerns. They received an approval letter from the North River Commission in July. TM notes that they have scaled back to one float in these latest plans, and are requesting a variance with respect to float size given it will be a shared facility. Once they receive their Conservation permit, they will file a Chapter 91 application. They will have to reappear before ZBA because they denied the project without prejudice.
- Attorney James Creed (JC) adds that he can provide a variance request letter, if needed, with respect to the dock traversing the two lots. He spoke with TC late this afternoon. BO is inclined to grant the variance but would want to make clear it was granted because the project predated the adoption of the updated bylaw. CH agrees and suggests that the Commission close tonight with condition requiring submission of the variance request letter to the Conservation Office.
- JR asks for comments from the public; none.
- The standard conditions for dock projects will apply plus special conditions requiring payment of \$1213.94 in shellfish mitigation and submission of a variance request letter to the Conservation Office by 12 noon on Friday.
- JR motions to accept the variance with respect to the 240 sq ft dock as provided for in applicant's variance request letter. JO second. Approved 7-0-0.
- JR motions to accept the variance with respect to the "one lot, one dock" requirement as provided for in applicant's variance request letter to be submitted to the Conservation Office. JO second. Approved 7-0-0.

- JR motions to close the hearing and issue Orders of Conditions with special conditions drafted by MS. DG second. Approved 6-0-0.

Commissioner SC rejoins the meeting.

REQUESTS FOR CERTIFICATES OF COMPLIANCE & EXTENSIONS

1074 Zailskas Family Nominee Trust, 36 Old Ocean Street [COC]

- Tabled until December 21, 2022 per vote at the November 16 meeting.

1500 Minichino, 71 Constellation Road [COC]

- This OOC concerns construction of the house. A HVAC unit needs to be elevated and an enclosure needs to be removed; Conservation staff has given applicant a punch list to complete.
- CH motions to table the COC request until December 21, 2022. JR second. Approved 7-0-0.

1917 Francis, 13 South Street [COC]

- Attorney Mike Baird (MB), present for new property owner, advises regarding issues removing the concrete cover beneath the house. Building Commissioner Andrew Stewart had indicated that doing so would require them to raise the floor up to grade; this would require 15 inches of fill, which would be a significant cost and would render the space unusable. Therefore, he would like to know if the Commission would be willing to waive the requirement and issue the COC.
- CH notes the sticking point for her is TC's firm guidance that the concrete covering had to be removed. MB suggests that the Commission consider issuing a new OOC with a condition that repairs above a certain dollar amount would require removal of the cover.
- JR would like to hear more from Building; MS advises he just received a text from Building Commissioner AS stating if they don't elevate the floor, FEMA may require that they do it. The only way FEMA gets notified is through "documenting the COC". CH doesn't think the Commission can act on MB's request without further information; she would like further comment from AS and TC.
- CH motions to table the request pending further clarification. BO second. Approved 7-0-0.

2494 McDonough (Now Haufler), 70 Littles Lane [COC]

- MS visited the site, observed that the required plantings had been made and survived at an approximately 87.5% rate, and recommended issuance of the COC.
- CH motions to issue a complete COC for SE42-2494. JR second. Approved 7-0-0.

2773 Escobar (now Monahan), 1185 Ferry Street [COC]

- Outstanding issues include the need for signed and stamped as-built plans showing the three conservation markers, relocation of a fence and PVC post upgradient of the wetlands. There have been no updates recently.
- CH motions to table the COC request until further information is received. JR second. Approved 7-0-0.

2957 Lotti, 28 Shipyard Lane [COC]

- MS visited the site on 11/30/22; he observed slight deviations from the approved site plan, including a shorter retaining wall, shift in location of the new septic system/leach field, and the addition of cobble around the gas meter, but recommended issuance of the COC.
- CH motions to issue a complete COC for SE42-2957. KD second. Approved 7-0-0.

ENFORCEMENT ORDERS

Smith, 38 Liberty Street (11/19/18 KS will set early Dec visit); White, Bednarz/ Nouza, 65 Ireland Road (Unpermitted Cutting <= 50 ft); Tamara Macuch, 237 Webster Avenue; Stifter, 102 Bartlett's Island (unpermitted revetment wall)

B6 Nolan, 45 Central Street – Commissioners

- ECR submitted its final monitoring report on 11/14. MS visited the site and confirmed that conservation markers and plantings were in place.

- CH motions that the Commission issue a dissolution letter to close out the enforcement order. JR second. Approved 7-0-0.

ADJOURNMENT – CH makes a motion to close the hearing at 8:55 PM. SC second. Approved 7-0-0.

Respectfully submitted,
Liz Anoja, Conservation Administrative Clerk

Marshfield Conservation Commission

Mike Seele, Conservation Agent

Craig Hannafin, Chair

Joe Ring

John O'Donnell

David J. Good

Bert O'Donnell, Vice Chair

Susan Caron

Ken Dodge