	T
Name	Question and/or comment
Douglas Little	Concerns from email 5/3/2017; residents were advised to submit suggested conditions
	Condition #1: No evidence has been presented that the existing sand, gravel, or other earthen materials on the site impedes the construction of those housing units in any way that would require their removal from the site. Given that fact, no sand, gravel, or other earthen materials shall be allowed to be taken from the site of the project.
	Condition #1: Material can be moved from one part of the lot to another as needed to enable construction of the driveway between the housing units and the right of way to Ferry Street as well as impact minimization and mitigation efforts for the protected species of concern on the site, as directed by the Division of Fisheries & Wildlife. However, any regrading of the site should be done in such a way as to minimize the need to do any compaction or other site work on the areas where the housing units will actually be constructed.
	Condition #1: The above will expedite the construction of the project's affordable housing and protect the health and safety of the people in the residential zone. This is consistent with the two prior decisions by the Marshfield Board of Selectmen denying previous applications for Earth Removal permits under (ARTICLE TWENTY - Earth Removal) of the General By-Laws. This condition will also help minimize both unnecessary traffic on the bridle path and unnecessary noise throughout nearby residential neighborhood. Worth noting that the permit application does NOT ask for a waiver from either (ARTICLE FORTY - Motor Vehicles on Bridle Path) or (ARTICLE SIXTY FIVE - Anti-Noise) of the Town of Marshfield General By-Laws. Granted, the applicant reportedly has a right-of-way to cross the bridle path but it would still be reasonable to expect that every effort should be made to keep such motor vehicle crossings to a minimum.
	Condition #2A: If the applicant or any contractors, subcontractors, employees, managers working on the site of the project are found to not be adhering to any conditions of the Comprehensive Permit all work must cease until the applicant and the Marshfield Zoning Board of Appeals reach an agreement on measures to prevent any further non-compliance.
	Condition #2B: Applicant will post a surety bond that will be forfeited if the applicant or any contractors, subcontractors, employees, managers working on the site of the project are found to not be adhering to any conditions of the Comprehensive Permit. Upon such forfeiture, all work must cease until the applicant posts another surety bond. Such bonds should be of an amount sufficient to address remedial measures which may be necessary because of the non-adherence to the permit.

Condition #3: Residents, managers, and maintenance staff of the completed housing and any other facilities
on the site of the project are prohibited from using products identified by the manufacturer of the
Wastewater Treatment System that would void the system's warranty. Those products include but are not
limited to restaurant degreaser, cleansers, wax strippers for linoleum, carpet shampoo, waste products, or any
other toxins as well as water softener brine discharge. This helps ensure that the project meets the
requirements of Section 13.03 – Water Resource Protection District of the Zoning Bylaws. Before the final
condition is written the manufacture of the Wastewater Treatment System should be asked for any specific
products they are willing to help identify that should be banned.
Condition #4: All earth moving work shall not begin until after 9 a.m. The plan states truck traffic would
start at 7:00 am presumably that means extremely noisy earth moving equipment would be operating in a R1
zone long before that. ARTICLE SIXTY FIVE - Anti-Noise of the Town of Marshfield General By-Laws.
Condition #5: No trucks or construction equipment shall be allowed to queue up or idle nearby before 9
a.m The first individual pieces of equipment can be en route after 8:30 a.m. but should not arrive on site
before 8:45 a.m. ARTICLE SIXTY FIVE - Anti-Noise of the Town of Marshfield General By-Laws.
Condition #7: A suitable recreation area with playground equipment and a grassy play area will be
constructed for the benefit of the tenants.
New comments, questions and concerns:
Discussion at the public hearing about making the angle that the access to the site enters Ferry Street to be
closer to a 90 degree angle but I don't find anything in the plan that shows that.
The ZBA June 2017 Decision on the application stated specifically that "Although the Applicant seeks
permission to excavate and remove 355,000 cubic yards of earth from the site, the Board is authorizing
excavation and removal of only so much sand and gravel as is reasonably necessary,". The current
application does nothing to address how much sand and gravel removal is necessary. In fact most of the area
currently above 110' elevation is fairly level and could be built on without removing any sand and gravel
from that part of the site. That part of the site has 3 housing buildings and 3 garages shown on it. I remind
the Board of the 3D cardboard models I submitted to them 7 years ago.
It appears to me from the contour lines shown for the completion of the project that a serious error in
approximating the amount of cut and fill. The contour lines appear to be similar to the original 2017 project
plan which had significantly higher estimates.
Sheet 8 shows 148,380 cu.yd. cut and 24,137 cu.yd. fill for 124,243 cu.yd. net but sheet 13 shows 150,00
c.y.(165,000 c.y. with 10% expansion. What number is correct?
The stormwater Management Design Calculations provide 442 Pages but No overall summary.
Sheet 8 shows 148,380 cu.yd. cut and 24,137 cu.yd. fill for 124,243 cu.yd. net but sheet 13 shows 150,00

Even though the application mentions trapping debris and reducing oil from the site runoff could find
nothing indicating any concern about dissolved chemicals being fed directly into our best water supply
aquifer. Again, I ask that everyone residents, managers, and maintenance staff of the completed housing and
any other facilities on the site of the project are prohibited from using products containing harmful
chemicals.
 The site is far from any public transportation which I believe is highly desired by the State for 40B projects.
The project is located on a part of Ferry Street which has had a great number of accidents over the years.
Many have occurred on my specific property.
The transportation issues and the unattractive bowl shape proposed for the site lead me to believe that the
rental rate could be low enough to mean that the project will fail but only after a massive amount of sand and
gravel is removed and sold for a nice profit first. An operating fund and or protection from low occupancy
fund should be created using all sales of sand and gravel from the site.
Even though there is a front elevation plan shown for the garage I couldn't find one for the actual housing
units.
 The plans show 8 residential buildings but only 7 parking garages.
 The plans show 8 residential buildings but only 7 parking garages.
During meetings there has been discussion of truck traffic going over DPW property to Clay Pit Road. Has
the DPW approved of the trucks using that property? If there are any fluids leaking from trucks on DPW
property that contaminate the aquifer, the town could be held responsible for triple damages to clean it up.
Trucks using the Clay Pit path will annoy the animals at the shelter and their barking will annoy residents.